

Please replace Table 8 on page 54 with the following:

Table 8

No.	No. in Table 5	Paint film					Corrosion test results						Remarks
		Cr+3.3Mo	70-2.7× (Cr+3.3Mo)	Zn Content (% by mass)	Zn average particle diameter (μm)	Average dry film thickness (μm)	Erichsen	Cross- shaped cut	Gravel	Gap (plastic)	Gap (back)	Overall Evaluation	
34	9	5.8	54.34	0	-	19.5	1	1	1	1	1	Rejected	Comparative Example
35	9	5.8	54.34	19.8	2.5	20.0	1	1	1	1	1	Rejected	Comparative Example
36	9	5.8	54.34	39.8	2.5	20.1	4	4	1	2	2	Rejected	Comparative Example
37	9	5.8	54.34	60.3	2.5	20.2	5	5	2	4	4	Rejected	Comparative Example
38	9	5.8	54.34	79.9	2.5	20.3	3	2	1	4	4	Rejected	Comparative Example
39	7	11.0	40.3	50.0	0.8	19.6	5	5	5	5	5	Accepted	Invention
40	7	11.0	40.3	50.0	1.2	20.3	5	5	5	5	5	Accepted	Invention
41	7	11.0	40.3	49.9	2.0	20.0	5	5	5	5	5	Accepted	Invention
42	7	11.0	40.3	49.6	2.7	20.4	3	5	4	5	5	Accepted	Invention
43	7	11.0	40.3	49.5	3.2	19.6	3	5	4	5	5	Accepted	Invention
44	7	11.0	40.3	49.6	4.9	19.8	3	5	3	5	5	Accepted	Invention
45	6	11.3	39.49	50.0	5.0	2.2	3	3	3	[[4]]2	31	Accepted	Comparative Example
46	6	11.3	39.49	50.3	5.0	3.6	4	4	3	[[4]]2	52	Accepted	Comparative Example
47	6	11.3	39.49	50.2	5.0	5.9	4	5	4	5	5	Accepted	Invention
48	6	11.3	39.49	49.8	5.0	10.0	5	5	4	5	5	Accepted	Invention
49	6	11.3	39.49	49.8	5.0	39.5	5	5	5	5	5	Accepted	Invention
50	6	11.3	39.49	50.1	5.0	54.7	3	5	4	5	5	Accepted	Invention
51	6	11.3	39.49	50.0	5.1	100.4105.0	3	5	2	5	5	Accepted	Comparative Example

Please replace Table 16 on page 62 with the following:

Table 16

No.	Steel		Paint film					Evaluation results of corrosion-resistance, etc.							Remarks
	No. in Table 13	Pitting index (Cr+3.3 Mo)	Left side in Expression 1 {70-2.7x (Cr+3.3Mo)}	Zn Content (% by mass)	Zn average particle diameter (μm)	Average dry film thickness (μm)	Erichsen	Cross-shaped cut	Gravel	Gap (plastic)	El (%)	r value	Gasoline corrosion test	Overall evaluation	
34	9	5.8	54.34	0	-	19.5	1	1	1	1	38.5	1.61	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
35	9	5.8	54.34	19.8	2.5	20.0	1	1	1	1	38.5	1.61	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
36	9	5.8	54.34	39.8	2.5	20.1	4	4	1	2	38.5	1.61	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
37	9	5.8	54.34	60.3	2.5	20.2	5	5	2	4	38.5	1.61	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
38	9	5.8	54.34	79.9	2.5	20.3	3	2	1	4	38.5	1.61	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
39	7	11.0	40.30	50.0	0.8	19.6	3	2	2	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
40	7	11.0	40.30	50.0	1.2	20.3	3	2	2	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
41	7	11.0	40.30	49.9	2.0	20.0	3	2	2	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
42	7	11.0	40.30	49.6	2.7	20.4	3	2	2	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
43	7	11.0	40.30	49.5	3.2	19.6	3	2	2	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
44	7	11.0	40.30	49.6	4.9	19.8	3	2	1	4	31.3	1.55	Poor	Rejected	Comparative Example
45	6	11.3	39.49	50.0	5.0	2.2	3	3	3	[[4]]2	35.7	1.83	OK	Rejected	Comparative Example
46	6	11.3	39.49	50.3	5.0	3.6	4	4	3	[[4]]2	35.7	1.83	OK	Rejected	Comparative Example
47	6	11.3	39.49	50.2	5.0	5.9	4	5	4	5	35.7	1.83	OK	Accepted	Invention
48	6	11.3	39.49	49.8	5.0	10.0	5	5	4	5	35.7	1.83	OK	Accepted	Invention
49	6	11.3	39.49	49.8	5.0	39.5	5	5	5	5	35.7	1.83	OK	Accepted	Invention
50	6	11.3	39.49	50.1	5.0	54.7	3	5	4	5	35.7	1.83	OK	Accepted	Invention
51	6	11.3	39.49	50.0	5.1	100.4105.0	3	5	2	5	35.7	1.83	OK	Accepted	Invention